Erratum

Dear Editor:


I was quite surprised when the paper appeared in press without the opportunity to review a galley proof. It is my understanding that the Journal does not allow for author review of the galley proofs for the Proceedings Issue, but does so for the regularly submitted manuscripts. As you are aware, author review is a customary policy of most scientific journals to allow the author the final opportunity to proofread the editor’s and typesetter’s version of the manuscript. Since the author is most knowledgeable in the text material, minor mistakes, which may otherwise be missed by the editor, are identified.

In our manuscript two errors appear. In identification of the author affiliation it should read Johns Hopkins Hospital, not John Hopkins Hospital. Secondly, the formula on page 359 should be stated as:

\[ I_{PS} = \frac{UPC \text{ (clinical protamine)}}{UPC \text{ (reference protamine)}} \]

Both of these changes represent editorial mistakes which did not appear in my original typed manuscript. Considering the effort that goes into production of a piece of scientific literature such mistakes are at the least distracting and annoying and sometimes, as in the case of the formula, scientifically inaccurate.

I would recommend that you consider, in the future, a continuation of the accepted scientific publication practice of author review of galley proofs prior to publication of proceedings paper. Thank you for your attention to my concerns.

Sincerely,

Frank M. LaDuca, Ph.D.
Associate Director of Medical Research
International Technidyne Corporation
Edison, NJ 08820

Dear Dr. LaDuca:

Thank you for communicating our errors in publication of your manuscript. Subsequent Proceedings manuscripts will be offered to the authors for review and correction in the galley proof stage.

Sincerely,

Jeffrey B. Riley
Editor