

The impact of enhanced cardiac index and pulsatile flow on oxygen delivery during cardiopulmonary bypass: a pilot study

Introduction

Providing sufficient organ perfusion during cardiopulmonary bypass (CPB) is a common research topic among extracorporeal technology researchers. The application of an elevated cardiac index (CI) to enhance CPB flow, coupled with the adoption of pulsatile flow, constitutes a novel approach designed to improve organ perfusion and oxygen delivery (DO₂). This study aims to assess the effects of increased CI and pulsatile flow on organ perfusion during CPB.

Material and methods

In this pilot study, thirty patients scheduled for on-pump coronary artery bypass graft (CABG) surgery with an estimated prolonged CPB time were enrolled. Patients were randomly divided into two study groups. Patients in the control group were managed with a CI of 2.4 L/min/m², while patients in the study group received a CI equal to 2.6 to 3 L/min/m² with pulsatile flow (PF) throughout the bypass run. Lactate fluctuations, creatinine variation, inotrope needs, blood transfusion requirements, ICU and hospital length of stay were assessed and noted.

Results

Participants in the study group exhibited lower creatinine levels throughout the assessment period; however, this difference did not reach statistical significance ($P > 0.05$). Participants in the study group consistently exhibited significantly lower lactate concentrations over the course of the investigation ($P < 0.05$). Patients in the study groups experienced a reduced duration of both ICU and hospital lengths of stay; however, this difference did not reach statistical significance ($P > 0.05$).

Conclusion

This prospective study suggests that an increased CI in conjunction with PF during CPB can markedly enhance oxygen delivery and surrogate markers of perfusion, as evidenced by a statistically significant reduction in lactate production observed throughout the duration of the bypass.

Key words: cardiac index, pulsatile flow, cardiopulmonary bypass, organ perfusion

Introduction

Over a million cardiac surgeries are conducted globally on an annual basis (1). The majority of these procedures are performed using cardiopulmonary bypass (CPB), allowing surgeons to operate on a bloodless field on a quiescent heart, while ensuring continuous perfusion and oxygenation. The utilization of CPB carries inherent risks and is frequently linked to postoperative organ dysfunction (1, 2). A variety of retrospective studies indicate that the relationship between significant hemodilution during CPB and inadequate organ perfusion may be attributed to a deficiency in oxygen delivery (DO_2) (3, 4).

The notion that pump flow should be modulated in accordance with the DO_2 instead of relying solely on body surface area and a cardiac index (CI) ranging from 1.8 to 2.4 L/min/m² is a prevalent topic of discussion among scholars. Currently, there exists a lack of robust evidence indicating that a goal-directed perfusion (GDP) approach aimed at preventing DO_2 from falling below a critical threshold will effectively diminish the incidence of ischemia in vital organs during CPB (5).

Significant endeavours have been dedicated to the identification of strategies that protect organs, and within these efforts, pulsatile flow (PF) and adjustments in blood flow during CPB have emerged as valuable parameters (1, 3).

Roller and centrifugal pumps are commonly utilized to generate non-pulsatile flow (NPF), which remains the predominant mode of perfusion (6). However, it is also feasible to imitate the arterial pulse produced by the heart, which has been reported to be more in line with physiologic processes (7). Pulsatile flow can be created in the CPB system by utilizing pulsator devices in the circuit or by adjusting the arterial pump to produce rapid changes in flow (6). There is substantial evidence supporting the role of PF in reducing the systemic inflammatory response and safeguarding the function of vital organs such as the kidneys, brain, lungs, blood, and heart (8). The 2019 guidelines on CPB in adult cardiac surgery, jointly issued by European Association for Cardio-Thoracic Surgery (EACTS), European Association of Cardiothoracic Anaesthesiology (EACTA), and European Certificate in

Cardiovascular Perfusion (EBCP), suggest that patients at high risk of adverse lung and renal outcomes should be considered for pulsatile perfusion (9). The Energy Equivalent Pressure (EEP) is a recognized metric utilized to evaluate the effectiveness of pulsatile flow. It has been established that an EEP value exceeding 15% of the mean arterial pressure indicates an adequate pulsatile flow that can effectively replicate physiological pulsatility (8).

Recent research suggests that a GDP approach, aimed at maintaining DO_2 above the critical threshold, may reduce the risk of inadequate tissue oxygenation during CPB (1). The modifiable factors in the delivery of DO_2 formula can be adjusted by changes in CI, thereby affecting the CPB flow. Research indicates that an elevation in CI to levels as high as 3 L/min/m² can markedly enhance organ perfusion, which in turn may lead to improved outcomes for patients undergoing cardiopulmonary bypass surgery (5).

In this research, our objective is to evaluate the impact of incorporating PF and an increased cardiac index on oxygen delivery and surrogate markers of perfusion during CPB.

Materials and methods

Patient Characteristics

In this pilot study, we carried out a comparative study between the conventional management of CPB flow and a combination of PF and higher CI to assess oxygen delivery and surrogate markers of perfusion.

The research protocol was reviewed and approved by the Institutional Review Board at Baqiyatallah University of Medical Sciences. This approval includes the right to publish the findings. The research protocol was evaluated and approved by the Institutional Review Board at Baqiyatallah University of Medical Sciences. It was classified as a low-risk study that met the criteria for waiving individual consent, as specified in the institutional guidelines. Thirty patients who underwent coronary artery bypass graft (CABG) surgery with long CPB time at our medical facility were assessed and divided into two distinct study groups.

The eligibility requirements consisted of (1) being 18 years of age or older, (2) having a left ventricular ejection fraction of 50% or higher, (3) having normal preoperative serum creatinine levels (60 to 105 $\mu\text{mol/L}$ for men, 45 to 90 $\mu\text{mol/L}$ for women), (4) undergoing elective cardiac surgery with prolonged CPB time, and (5) maintaining a body temperature between 33 to 35°C during CPB.

Exclusion criteria included: (1) non-elective surgery, (2) body mass index greater than or equal to 32 kg/m^2 , (3) history of cerebrovascular disease, (4) Abnormal plasma lactate levels (>2 mmol/L) prior to CPB initiation, (5) uncompensated diabetes, (6) autoimmune disease, (7) active infection, (8) any immunosuppressant therapy, and (9) coagulation disorder.

Anaesthesia management

Anaesthesia was induced using fentanyl (5 to 10 $\mu\text{g/kg}$), Cisatracurium (0.15 to 0.2 mg/kg), propofol (1 to 1.5 mg/kg), and Midazolam (70 to 80 $\mu\text{g/kg}$) and then maintained with intravenous

anaesthesia (fentanyl 1.5mg, Atracurium 150 mg, Midazolam 15 mg) throughout the surgical procedure. Norepinephrine (20 µg/cc) was utilized as a bolus dose to sustain a mean arterial pressure (MAP) greater than 60 mmHg throughout the case. The utilization of inotropes during the surgery was noted.

Conduct of CPB

The CPB system included an Inspire 8F oxygenator (LivaNova, Mirandola, Italy) and an Inspire hard-shell venous reservoir (LivaNova). The equipment comprised of a Stöckert S5 heart–lung machine with roller pump (LivaNova) and a Stöckert Heater Cooler System 3T (LivaNova). The priming solution was composed of 1,500 mL of Ringer’s solution and 10,000 IU heparin. Additionally, 200 mL of 20% mannitol and 100 mL of 20% albumin were included in the CPB prime.

Following heparinization at a dose of 400 IU/kg, the aortic root was cannulated, followed by bicaval venous cannulation based on the specific surgical procedure. To accommodate high CI and PF without excessive arterial line pressures, we used arterial cannula ranging from 20 Fr to 24 Fr depending on patient BSA, sourced from LivaNova (Italy). Arterial line pressure was continuously monitored using a Smiths Medical Medex MX9604 single-line pressure transducer, which was calibrated prior to initiation of CPB and connected via a three-way stopcock to the arterial line sampling port. Pressure values were displayed in real time on the CPB console and assessed throughout the procedure.

Cannula selection followed manufacturer flow-pressure charts to maintain a pressure gradient below 80 mmHg. We did not encounter excessive arterial pressures (>300 mmHg) even in patients with BSA >2.0. Our surgical team was supportive of using larger cannula when clinically justified.

The activated clotting time was maintained at a level exceeding 480 seconds throughout the CPB procedure. All patients received active mild hypothermia during CPB (33°C-35°C). All individuals

were administered 1250 mL of Del Nido cardioplegia (DN), consisting of a mixture of 1 part oxygenated blood to 4 parts DN crystalloid solution.

Every 90 minutes, a maintenance dose of 625 mL of 1:4 DN cardioplegia was administered. An alpha-stat blood gas management strategy was implemented throughout CPB. Following weaning from CPB, protamine sulfate (4 mg/kg) was administered to reverse the effects of heparin.

Study Protocol

CPB was initiated with a baseline flow rate of 2.4 L/min/m² for every participant. The study began after achieving stable hemodynamic conditions after placement of the aortic cross-clamp and cardioplegia administration. A total of thirty patients were randomly allocated to two distinct study groups.

Patients in the control group (n=15) were provided with a non-pulsatile flow rate of 2.4 L/min/m² continuously during the surgical procedure. If hypotension occurred during the CPB period, Norepinephrine was given as a bolus to elevate MAP above 60 mmHg.

Patients in the study group (n=15) were administered a pulsatile flow rate ranging from 2.6 to 3 L/min/m² during the entire duration of the surgery. The pulsatile configuration was defined by a baseline flow rate of 30%, a width of 60%, and a frequency of 70 beats per minute. The quality of the pulsatile flow was evaluated through the use of Energy Equivalent Pressure (EEP). In instances where the EEP was determined to be equal to or less than the Mean Arterial Pressure (MAP), adjustments were made by increasing the width and frequency parameters in order to achieve an EEP that surpassed the MAP by 15% (8).

If hypotension occurred during the CPB period, the flow rate was initially increased to 3 L/min/m², and if hypotension persisted, Norepinephrine was utilized.

The venous reservoir level was maintained at a level $\geq 10\%$ of the pump flow in mL/min (e.g., at a pump flow of 4 L/min, the reservoir was maintained at ≥ 400 mL). In order to maintain

this safety threshold, crystalloid solution (Ringer's acetate) was administered into the reservoir as needed.

Data Collection

The preoperative information comprised of patient demographic characteristics, preoperative serum creatinine levels, ventricular ejection fraction, comorbidities (such as hypertension, or chronic obstructive pulmonary disease) and initial haemoglobin (Hb).

Perioperative information encompassed the duration of CPB, time of aortic clamp, hematocrit (Hct) and Hb values (measured at the initiation of CPB and every half hour thereafter), urine output, requirement for inotropic drugs, mean value of oxygen delivery index (DO_{2i}), serum lactate levels, and blood transfusions during CPB. Postoperative data comprised of serum creatinine levels, serum lactate levels, inotropic agent needs, blood transfusions, and length of stay in the intensive care unit (ICU) and hospital.

The calculation of DO_{2i} was performed using the following formula: $DO_{2i} \text{ (mL/min/m}^2\text{)} = \text{pump flow (L/min)} \times [\text{Hct}/2.94 \text{ (g/dL)} \times 1.36 \times \text{arterial oxygen saturation (\%)} + \text{partial pressure of arterial oxygen (mm Hg)} \times 0.003] \times 10/\text{BSA (m}^2\text{)}$. The B-Capta online blood gas monitoring system developed by LivaNova was employed, facilitating precise measurements of partial pressure of oxygen (pO₂) and temperature within the arterial line, as well as saturation, HCT, Hb, and temperature in the venous line. The calculation of DO_{2i} was performed manually at 15-minute intervals, utilizing the specified formula.

The primary end points were serum lactate level during CPB and post-surgery, nadir DO_{2i}, and perioperative urine output.

Secondary end points were postoperative serum creatinine levels, blood transfusion requirements, and length of ICU and hospital stay.

Statistical Analysis

All data are expressed as mean \pm standard error of the mean or as absolute numbers and percentage, as appropriate. Statistical analysis was performed using SPSS version 11.0 software (SPSS Inc, Chicago, Ill). An independent t-test was utilized to assess the differences in means for quantitative variables, while a Chi-square test was applied to evaluate the relationships among qualitative variables. Repeated measures analysis of variance, accompanied by Mauchly's test of sphericity, was employed to assess the variation of DO_{2i} across different time intervals.

Results:

Demographic and preoperative, details of the patient population are shown in Tables 1 and 2. The analysis revealed that all demographic and pre-operative variables were comparable, with except for, which was found to be significantly lower in the study group.

The peri-operative data presented in Table 3 indicates that patients within the study group exhibit a significantly increased urine output, a reduced ultrafiltration rate, and a lower requirement for inotropic support. The mean DO₂ value in study group was significantly higher in comparison to control group ($P < 0.05$).

Table 4 illustrates the variations in creatinine levels between the study groups. Throughout the evaluation period, individuals in the study group exhibited lower levels of creatinine, with a significant decline occurring right after the surgery and continuing at the 24 and 48-hour marks post-surgery.

Table 5 illustrates the differences in lactate levels between the study groups, revealing that participants in the study group consistently exhibited significantly lower lactate concentrations over the course of the investigation ($p < .001$).

The post-operative data presented in Table 6 indicates that patients in the study groups experienced a significantly reduced length of both ICU and hospital stays ($p < 0.05$).

3In this pilot study, perfusion adequacy was assessed using lactate as a surrogate of global metabolic balance and creatinine as an indicator of renal function. These markers do not capture perfusion of all organs, which is a limitation of the study. In addition, we evaluated several additional factors, including fluctuations in urine output, the necessity for intraoperative inotropes, the requirement for blood transfusions, and the duration of both ICU and hospital lengths of stay.

The constraints associated with lactate as a strong predictive indicator center on the notion that hyperlactatemia could result from a confluence of both intraoperative and postoperative influences (5). The relationship between reduced flow rates (less than 100 ml/kg/min) and DO₂ during CPB, along with the duration of CPB, circulatory arrest, HCT levels on CPB, temperature during and after CPB, and the systemic inflammatory responses, has been demonstrated to correlate with intra and postoperative lactate levels (10, 11). This research indicates that the patients within the study group exhibited elevated levels of DO₂ during CPB. While certain studies suggest a direct correlation between increased DO₂ and reduced lactate levels (1, 5), other investigations have demonstrated that no such direct relationship exists between elevated DO₂ and lactate production during CPB (3, 12).

The ability of DO_{2i} to predict adequate organ perfusion, particularly in the kidneys, has been demonstrated through a substantial sample size, with a defined DO_{2i} threshold set at 270 mL/min/m² (13, 14). The disparity in DO₂ levels observed during CPB was statistically significant between the study groups; however, it is noteworthy that both groups maintained a DO₂ level exceeding 270 mL/min/m². Patients within the study group exhibited a statistically significant reduction in creatinine levels immediately following surgery, 24 and 48-hours post-CPB; however, the variation in creatinine levels was not statistically significant at the 12-hour interval after surgery. The positive results observed appear to be influenced by both the increased CI and the integration of pulsatile flow into the CPB management strategy, although the pilot nature of this study limits definitive conclusions.

HCT serves as an essential factor in the evaluation of DO_2 , in conjunction with cardiac output or the blood flow provided by the HLM (1). Adequate DO_2 during CPB is essential for maintaining normal aerobic metabolic processes and for mitigating the risk of lactate accumulation, which can occur because of diminished cellular perfusion or oxygen deprivation. In this study, we ensured that the HCT levels were kept between 25% and 27% to effectively assess the effects of different CI. Our transfusion threshold was Hb <7 g/dL during CPB and <8 g/dL post-CPB, which was in line with institutional protocol. Additionally, relatively long bypass times and modest dilutional HCT targets (25–27%) may have contributed to higher transfusion rates compared with other centres.

The findings of this research demonstrate that the group subjected to a higher CI experienced a significant decrease in lactate levels during and after the surgical procedure, in contrast to the control group ($P < 0.05$). Our experience with CPB management indicates that a CI of 2.4 L/min/m² is inadequate for ensuring effective organ perfusion. While in many clinical scenarios a CI of 2.4 L/min/m² may be insufficient to maintain a $DO_{2i} > 300$, this is not universally the case. For example, patients with higher Hb or favorable oxygenation may achieve $DO_{2i} \geq 300$ at 2.4 CI. In our cohort, however, nadir Hb and hemodilution typically limited DO_{2i} at standard CI, necessitating higher flows (15, 16). Furthermore, the regulation of flow can be conducted autonomously or tailored to align with the metabolic requirements of the patient, which may be influenced by factors such as hemodilution, temperature fluctuations during cooling and warming, systemic inflammatory response syndrome, and cellular acidosis, among others, and can persist throughout CPB (17). Consequently, it has been assessed that a CI of 2.4 L/min/m² is inadequate for optimal physiological function.

The results obtained by Condello and Clingan align with the outcomes of the current study, suggesting that maintaining a cardiac index of 3 L/min/m² is vital for mitigating hyperlactatemia, as it allows the DO_2 to exceed 300 ml/min/m² (1, 5).

In the framework of the study group, we also utilized PF to improve organ perfusion subsequent to the elevation of CI. The beneficial effects of combining elevated CI and PF may stem from multiple physiological mechanisms. Pulsatile flow is believed to enhance endothelial function through increased shear stress, promoting nitric oxide release, which facilitates vasodilation and improves microcirculation (17, 18). Additionally, the enhanced CI contributes to a sustained oxygen delivery that exceeds metabolic demand, reducing the likelihood of anaerobic metabolism and subsequent lactate accumulation (1, 11). These mechanisms collectively support more stable perfusion pressure and reduced ischemic risk across vital organs.

Studies demonstrate that the physiological features of arterial pulsatile flow can be successfully mimicked by utilizing the HLM pulsatile flow configurations (8). Quality of the PF was assessed using EEP. Modifications to the width and frequency settings were implemented to ensure that the EEP exceeded the MAP by 15% (18). Furthermore, it is important to note that in the recent quantification of pulsatile flow, the EEP is utilized to evaluate the quality of pulsatile flow by calculating the area beneath the arterial pressure curve and the area beneath the pump flow curve during the pulsatile cycle. In this context, it is essential to maintain the EEP value at 10 to 15 mmHg above the MAP(4). Adjustments to pulsatile parameters—specifically width and frequency—were made manually approximately every 15–20 minutes, or earlier if the EEP-to-MAP ratio dropped below the 15% target threshold. These adjustments were individualized, depending on patient-specific hemodynamic parameters such as MAP fluctuations, systemic vascular resistance, and arterial line pressure readings. Typically, an increase in vascular resistance or MAP drop necessitated a change in pulse width or frequency. EEP was calculated by the external mobile app using the area under the curve of the pulsatile waveform.

We propose an increased cardiac index in conjunction with the application of pulsatile flow could facilitate a progressive improvement in organ perfusion throughout cardiopulmonary bypass for CABG patients.

This research provides a novel perspective on evaluating organ perfusion through the modification of cardiac index, while concurrently utilizing pulsatile flow techniques.

Furthermore, we assessed a range of additional complications linked to CPB. The participants in the study group exhibited a reduced requirement for inotropic support, attributed to the elevated CPB flow observed when MAP decreased, particularly in conjunction with the application of pulsatile flow ($P < 0.05$).

Study limitations

As previously noted, this research represents the inaugural investigation into the integration of pulsatile flow with enhanced CI within the domain of perfusion science. Consequently, we opted to carry out a pilot study involving a minimal number of participants to evaluate potential complications. Furthermore, it was crucial to investigate supplementary factors, including plasma-free hemoglobin, to determine the likelihood of red blood cell lysis, alongside particular biomarkers such as interleukin-18 to assess sufficient kidney perfusion. We expect to undertake a more targeted study in the future.

We chose to study the effect of enhanced CI in combination with pulsatile flow (PF) based on prior literature indicating that CI levels above 2.4 L/min/m² are associated with improved DO₂i and reduced hyperlactatemia. A third group with only high CI and no pulsatile flow was considered; however, due to institutional limitations and the pilot nature of the study, we focused on the combined impact to assess feasibility. Future studies should evaluate CI and PF independently and in combination to isolate their individual effects.

Conclusions

We suggest that a CI exceeding 2.4 L/min/m², when paired with pulsatile flow, has the potential to enhance both intraoperative and postoperative parameters. This integrative strategy has the capacity to mimic the physiological features of pulsatile circulation, even in the absence of

physiologic pulsatility within the arterial line. Additional research is required to evaluate this combination in order to either validate or refute the proposed approach to oxygen delivery and surrogate markers of perfusion during CPB.

Acknowledgement

We extend our sincere gratitude to Dr. George A. Justison for his invaluable guidance in the planning and execution of our study, as well as for his insightful review of our manuscript.

Funding

This research did not receive any specific funding.

Conflicts of interest

None declared.

Data availability statement

Access to the data can be obtained from the corresponding author upon a reasonable request, contingent upon the approval of the institutional review board at the University of Baqiyatallah.

Authors' contributions

The initial drafts were primarily developed by MB, while all authors contributed to the manuscript's revision process. Furthermore, every author has given their approval for the final version of the draft.

Ethics

This research underwent evaluation by the ethical board of Baqiyatallah University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran

Reference:

1. Condello I, Santarpino G, Nasso G, Moscarelli M, Fiore F, Speziale G. Associations between oxygen delivery and cardiac index with hyperlactatemia during cardiopulmonary bypass. *JTCVS* 2020;2:92-9.
2. Ranucci M, Isgrò G, Romitti F, Mele S, Biagioli B, Giomarelli PJTAots. Anaerobic metabolism during cardiopulmonary bypass: predictive value of carbon dioxide derived parameters. *The Annals of Thoracic Surgery*.2006;81(6):2189-95.
3. Ranucci M, Johnson I, Willcox T, Baker RA, Boer C, Baumann A, et al. Goal-directed perfusion to reduce acute kidney injury: a randomized trial. *JTCVS*, 2018;156(5):1918-27. e2.
4. Karkouti K, Beattie W, Wijeyesundera D, Rao V, Chan C, Dattilo K, et al. Hemodilution during cardiopulmonary bypass is an independent risk factor for acute renal failure in adult cardiac surgery. *JTCVS*, 2005;129(2):391-400.
5. Clingan S, Reagor J, Lombardi JJP. Retrospective analysis of cardiac index and lactate production on cardiopulmonary bypass for a congenital cardiac patient population. *Perfusion*, 2019;34(3):231-5.
6. Undar AJMsmimjoe, research c. The ABCs of research on pulsatile versus nonpulsatile perfusion during cardiopulmonary bypass. *Med Sci Monit*. 2002;8(12):ED21-4.
7. Ji B, Ündar AJAJ. An evaluation of the benefits of pulsatile versus nonpulsatile perfusion during cardiopulmonary bypass procedures in pediatric and adult cardiac patients. *ASAIO J.*, 2006;52(4):357-61.
8. Tan A, Newey C, Falter FJTJoET. Pulsatile perfusion during cardiopulmonary bypass: a literature review. *JECT*, 2022;54(1):50-60.
9. Wahba A, Milojevic M, Boer C, De Somer FM, Gudbjartsson T, Van Den Goor J, et al. 2019 EACTS/EACTA/EBCP guidelines on cardiopulmonary bypass in adult cardiac surgery. 2020;57(2):210-51.
10. Munoz R, Laussen PC, Palacio G, Zienko L, Piercey G, Wessel DLJTJot, et al. Changes in whole blood lactate levels during cardiopulmonary bypass for surgery for congenital cardiac disease: an early indicator of morbidity and mortality. 2000;119(1):155-62.
11. Ranucci M, Romitti F, Isgrò G, Cotza M, Brozzi S, Boncilli A, et al. Oxygen delivery during cardiopulmonary bypass and acute renal failure after coronary operations *The Annals of thoracic surgery*. 2005;80(6):2213-20.
12. Hendrix RH, Ganushchak YM, Weerwind PWJPO. Oxygen delivery, oxygen consumption and decreased kidney function after cardiopulmonary bypass. *PLOS One*, 2019;14(11):e0225541.
13. Newland RF, Baker RA, Woodman RJ, Barnes MB, Willcox TWJTAoTS. Predictive capacity of oxygen delivery during cardiopulmonary bypass on acute kidney injury. 2019;108(6):1807-14.
14. Bagherinasab M, Darban NHJTJoET. Successful use of pulsatile flow and goal directed perfusion in a high-risk patient. 2025;57(3):160-3.
15. Demers P, Elkouri S, Martineau R, Couturier A, Cartier R.. Outcome with high blood lactate levels during cardiopulmonary bypass in adult cardiac operation. *Ann Thorac Surg*. 2000;70(6):2082-6.
16. Stephens EH, Epting CL, Backer CL, Wald ELJWJfP, Surgery CH. Hyperlactatemia: an update on postoperative lactate. *World J Pediatr Congenital Heart Surg*. 2020;11(3):316-24.
17. Wilkens H, Regelson W, Hoffmeister FJNEJoM. The physiologic importance of pulsatile blood flow. *New England Journal of Medicine*. 1962;267(9):443-6.
18. Wang S, Haines N, Ündar AJTJoE-cT. Quantification of pressure-flow waveforms and selection of components for the pulsatile extracorporeal circuit. *The Journal of Extra-corporeal Technology*. 2009;41(1):P20.

